Hypotheses:

Higher levels of emotional intelligence = better person-job fit:



Significant positive relationship between emotional intelligence and person-job fit (r = 0.245) p = 0.029, one-tailed. Variables had only a 6% shared variance.

Higher levels of emotional intelligence = lower levels of stress:



Significant negative relationship between emotional intelligence and stress (r = -0.507)

Emotional intelligence scores helped to explain 26% of the variance in participant

Higher levels of person-job fit = lower levels of stress:



Variable relationship was consistent with hypothesis; however, was not significant (r = -0.179) p = 0.083, one-tailed.

Higher levels of emotional intelligence = high levels of person-job fit + low levels of stress:



A summary of the relationshipemotional intelligence has on person-job fir and

High levels of emotional intelligence correlated positively with person-job fit (r =0.025, p = 0.029) and low levels of stress (r = -0.057, p = 0.000), one-tailed.

Work stress

University of Tasmania Melissa.freestun@gmail.com Person-job fit

School of Medicine, College of Health + Medicine,

job fit.

between

emotional

The relationship

intelligence, work

stress + person-

MELISSA FREESTUN | PSYCHOLOGIST | AUSTRALIA

Emotional intelligence

The ability to identify emotions in ourselves and

Our capacity to monitor and relf-regulate our emotions

Appreciate how people respond to the demands (ointernal+ external) of work environments, and how we relate to one other

Gets us success in a job

Imperative for organisational success

An interaction between the individual + their work

The disparity between the internal + external demands of an individual, and their capacity (or lack of) to cope within a work context

That occurs within a work environment, or as a result of it An inability to successfully navigate the pressures+ demands of a workplace

Financial burden AU\$1b annually

The link between the person's characteristics + their work responsibilities

Allows for employee enjoyment, success + satisfaction Leads to employee emboywerment



PARTICIPANTS

Word of mouth + snowballing sampling; nonrandomised participants

Employment:

- 1. Professionals (tertiary qualified)
- 2. Non-professional 3. Government
- Employed 20-50+hrs/week

- 45.9% male; 54.1% female
- Paid employed 20+hrs/week
- Average reading ability

MATERIALS

Qualitative design (survey methodology) of four scales

- 1. Demographic questionnaire to describe participant cohorts:
- 2. Emotional intelligence questionnaire used by NHS professional leadership
- 3. Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995;
- 4. Person-Job Fit Scale (Brkich, Jeffs & Careless, 2002)

LIMITATIONS

Self-report method: limitations with face validity, response bias + poor reliability Self-ratings may be skewed, particularly in corporate settings

Possibility of projection of attributes as a comparison, favourably or unfavourably, creating self bias

Difficult to establish fidelity of reported information

Sample from Queensland - not nationally

Age + cultural background ommited from

CONCLUSION

Limited research available exploring all three variable in a single study Recommendation to replicate study on a national level

Future research will influence role of workplace leadership in recruitment, retention, training and staff development

Adds merit to the influence of positive psychology + emotional intelligence in work stress and person-job fit

Exploratory analyses:

Comparing differences in emotional intelligence across employment categories:

Comparing difference in person-job fit across employment categories:

Comparing differences in stress across employment categories:

Gender effects on emotional intelligence, personjob fit or stress:

Does income have an effect on emotional intelligence, person-job fit or stress:

- Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) in EQ across employment categories. Mean EQ scores for non-professional employees were significantly lower than professional and government employees.
- · A trend was found suggesting government employees had higher levels of EQ compared to those employed in a professional role: difference was not statistically significant
- · No significant differences found across employment
- · Effect size = extremely mall.
- Professional employees had slightly higher person-job fit. categories
- Government employees had the lowest levels of stress.
- Non-professional employees had the highest levels.
- No significant differences found between groups.
- Effect size was medium.
- Males had significantly lower levels of emotional intelligence.
- Males reported lower levels of person-job fit (non-significant difference).
- Males reported higher levels of stress (non-significant difference)
- No significant differences between income and emotional intelligence; variable were not significantly
- Income had some impact on person-job fit: those who withheld their income had lowest person-job fit.
- Those earning < AU\$50,000.00 PA had highest person-job fit.
- · Highest income earners did not have higher levels of person-job fit.
- · No significant differences for stress levels, based on income.

Whether education levels have any effect on emotional intelligence, person-job fit or stress:

- Tertiary educated people had highest levels of emotional intelligence (Masters + Doctorate levels).
- · Secondary school graduates with no further training had lowest levels of emotional intelligence.
- Diploma level qualification had highest levels of person-job fit; TAFE qualified had lowest
- TAFE qualified had highest levels of stress.
- Master's graduates had lowest levels of stress.